





**BRADFORD  
AP ACADEMY**

# Non-examination Assessment Policy

Policy agreed by Staff on:	Spring 2024
Ratified by Local Advisory Board on:	Spring 2024
Review Date:	Spring 2025
Agreed Frequency of Review:	Annually
Allocated Group / Person to Review:	LAB can delegate to staff members or Headteacher.
Signed by Chair:	
Signed by Headteacher:	



**Exceed**  
Academies Trust

Together we **Exceed**

## **What does this policy affect?**

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment:

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not extremely set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). `NEA` therefore includes, but it not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or extremely set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as `NEA`.

(JCQ Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments)

## **Purpose of the policy**

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- covers procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessment

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment.

For the purposes of this policy any further reference to non-examination assessments may be referred to as NEA.

## **What are non-examination assessments?**

NEAs measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task settings
- task taking
- task marking

## **Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities**

The basic principles

### **Head of centre**

- Returns a declarations (managed as par of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of,, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA.
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking.

### **Senior Leaders**

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

### **Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier**

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardize/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources, etc.

### **Subject head/lead**

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the NEA process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of NEA (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers.

### **Subject teacher**

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting NEAs, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website.
- Marks internally assessment work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries.

### **Exams office**

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication NEA to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of NEA.

### **Task setting**

#### Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification.
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

### **Issuing of tasks**

#### Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times

## **Task taking**

### Supervision

#### Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents Information for candidates – non-examination assessment and information for candidates – Social Media
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents - Information for candidates

### Advice and feedback

#### Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

### Resources

#### Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources, etc

## Word and time limits

### Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply are mandatory

## Collaboration and group work

### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allow candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

## Authentication procedures

### Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
  - i. ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - ii. signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team.
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero.

## Presentation of work

### Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution.
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

## Keeping materials secure

### Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NE 4.8

- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted.
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant services
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed.
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates – Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

#### IT Services

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilizes appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

### **Task marking – externally assessed components**

#### Conducts of externally assessed work

##### Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

##### Exams Officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

#### Submission of work

##### Subject teacher

- Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

## Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be dispatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is dispatched is robust and securely fastened
- Dispatches the work to the awarding body by the required deadline

## Task marking – internally assessed components

### Marking and annotation

#### Head of centre

- Ensures where a teacher is teaching, preparing and assessing a candidate with whom they have a close relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not

#### Subject head/lead

- Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline.

#### Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme marking process
- Marks candidates work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body.

## Internal standardisation

### Quality assurance (Q) Lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation – for example by
  - i. obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course

- ii. holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
- iii. carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- iv. after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

#### Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the services concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

#### **Access arrangements**

##### Subject teacher

- Works with the Head of Centres/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments
- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangements facilitator are fully trained in their role

#### **Special consideration and loss of work**

##### Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

##### Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
  - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
  - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
  - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15 – JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body



## **Malpractice**

### Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractices or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

### Subject teacher

- Is familiar with the JCQ Notice to Centres – Sharing NEA material and candidates’ work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ documentation Information for candidates – non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ documentation Information for candidates – Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

### Exams officer

- Signposts to the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice : Policies and Procedures to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres – Sharing NEA material and candidates’ work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

### Post-results services

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre’s internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

### Subject head/lead

- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates’ work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

### Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as details in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

## **Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England**

### Head of centre

- Returns an online Head of Centre declaration at the time of the National Central Number Registration annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement
- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

### Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

### Exams officer

- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings.

## **Private Candidates**

### Subject head/lead

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification.

<b>Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments</b>		
<b>Issue/Risk</b>	<b>Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk</b>	<b>Action by</b>
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</li> <li>• The JCQ document Notice to Centres – Sharing NEA material and candidates’ work – <a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments">www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments</a></li> </ul>	Head of centre  Exam Officer
Candidates malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submit work which is not their own</li> <li>• Make available their work to other candidates through any medium</li> <li>• Allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material</li> <li>• Assist other candidates to produce work</li> <li>• Use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution</li> <li>• Submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement</li> <li>• Include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material</li> </ul> <p>Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates – non-examination assessments and Information for candidates – Social Media – <a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents">www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents</a> and understand they must not post their work on social media</p>	Head of centre  Exam Officer
	<b>TASK SETTING</b>	
Awarding body set task : IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	Head of centre Exam Officer IT dept
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body’s specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Subject head/lead
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body’s marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates	Subject head/lead

	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan	Head of Centre
	<b>ISSUING OF TASKS</b>	
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Subject head/lead
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject head/lead
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan	Head of Centre
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	Head of Centre
	<b>TASK TAKING</b>	
<b>Supervision</b>		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidates activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Exam officer
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	Exam officer
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	Subject head/lead Head of centre
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-	Head of centre

submitting their work for assessment	examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Subject head/lead
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCo Exam Officer
<b>Advice and feedback</b>		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Head of Centre
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	Head of Centre
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records are detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Head of Centre
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources, etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject head/lead
Candidate does not set out references as requested	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of reference before work is submitted for formal assessment	Subject head/lead

	<p>Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion</p>	
<p>Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started</p>	<p>A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up</p>	<p>Exam Officer</p>
<p>Candidate moves to another centre during the course</p>	<p>Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place</p>	<p>Head of Centre Exam Officer</p>
<p><b>Resources</b></p>		
<p>A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions</p>	<p>Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions</p>	<p>Subject lead/head</p>
<p>A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment</p>	<p>Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate</p>	<p>Subject lead/head</p>
<p><b>Word and time limits</b></p>		
<p>A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits</p>	<p>Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood</p>	<p>Head of centre</p>
<p><b>Collaboration and group work</b></p>		
<p>Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted</p>	<p>Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved</p>	<p>Subject lead/head Head of centre</p>
<p><b>Authentication procedures</b></p>		
<p>A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work</p>	<p>Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Notice to Centres – Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</p>	<p>Subject lead/head</p>

submitted by a candidate for internal assessment  Candidate plagiarises other material	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	Head of Centre
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Head of Centre
<b>Presentation of Work</b>		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their work submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject head/lead Exam Officer
<b>Keeping materials secure</b>		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Subject head/lead Head of centre Exam Officer
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Subject head/lead Head of centre Exam Officer
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to this material is restricted</li> <li>• Appropriate security safeguards are in place</li> </ul>	IT

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidate's evidence is maintained</li> <li>Any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it</li> </ul>	
<b>Task marking – externally assessed components</b>		
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Exam Officer Head of Centre
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Exam officer Head of Centre
<b>Task marking – internally assessed components</b>		
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Subject head/lead
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Subject head/lead
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Subject head/lead Head of Centre Exam Officer
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Subject head/lead Head of Centre Exam Officer
A teacher marks the work of a candidate with whom they have a close relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is preparing/teaching said child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Subject head/lead Head of Centre Exam Officer



close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)		
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Subject head/lead Head of Centre Exam Officer
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Subject head/lead Head of Centre Exam Officer
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks of their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	Subject head/lead Head of Centre
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadline given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Subject Teacher
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through Heads of Centres/subject leaders/heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Subject head/lead Head of Centre Exam Officer

Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan	Subject head/lead Head of Centre
---	------------------------------------	----------------------------------